UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,012	03/16/2004	Jun Ozawa	250567US26	1602
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
			MOORE, KARLA A	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 03/09/2007 ELI		ELECT	RONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 03/09/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/801,012	OZAWA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Karla Moore	1763			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	4'				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 De</u>	ecember 2006.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-25 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 26-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.	•			
Application Papers		·			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
	in the line of the second seco				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [] Into-i 0	(DTO 442)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1206. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/801,012 Page 2

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese Patent Publication No. 2000-129442 to Futagawa et al.
- 3. Futagawa et al. disclose a processed object processing apparatus that processes objects to be processed substantially, comprising: first (Figure 1-- 3 and 4) and second (5 and 6) treatment systems that are communicably and adjacently connected in a line and in which the objects to be processed are processed; and one load lock system (including multiple parts all cooperating to perform a load lock process/system--1, 7, 11 and 23) that is communicably connected to said first and second treatment systems, said load lock system having a transfer arm (Figures 1, 4a and 4b, 23) that transfers (indirectly) the objects to be processed into and out of each of said first and second treatment systems and a processed object holding part (Figures 4a and 4b, 9) holding the object to be processed; wherein said second treatment system is a vacuum treatment system, and said one load lock system is disposed in a position so as to form a line with said first and second treatment systems.
- 4. With respect to claims 26 and 27, the first and second systems are capable of being used simultaneously. Also, the transfer arm is capable of transferring an object to one of the systems while an object is being processed in the other. Further, while an object is being housed in the one load lock system the transfer arm is capable of transferring another object to be processed out of said first treatment system and into said second treatment system.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,012

Art Unit: 1763

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 2-5 and 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent Publication No. 2000-129442 to Futagawa et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,174,881 to Iwasaki et al.
- 7. Futagawa et al. disclose a processed object processing apparatus that processes objects to be processed substantially as claimed and comprising: first (Figure 1, 3 and 4) and second (5 and 6) treatement systems that are communicably and adjacently connected in a line and in which the objects to be processed are processed; and one load lock system (including multiple parts, 1 and 7) that is communicably connected to said first and second treatment systems, said load lock system having a transfer arm (Figures 4a and 4b, 14) that transfers the objects to be processed into and out of each of said first and second treatment systems and a processed object holding part (Figures 4a and 4b, 9) holding the object to be processed; wherein said second treatment system is a vacuum treatment system, and said one load lock system is disposed in a position so as to form a line with said first and second treatment systems.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,012

Art Unit: 1763

8. However, Futagawa et al. fail to teach that either of the first or second treatment systems comprises a chemical oxide removal (COR) treatment system.

9. Iwasaki et al. teach providing a COR treatment system as part of an inline system comprising thin film deposition apparatus for the purpose of removing a naturally grown oxide film and other contaminants from the substrate surface and continuously forming thin films on wafers without exposing the wafer to the air at relatively lowered temperatures without giving an damage to the substrate surface (column 4, rows 23-29).

Page 4

- 10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the Applicant's invention was made to have provided a (COR) treatment system in Iwasaki et al. in order to form an inline system comprising thin film deposition apparatus comprising means to remove a naturally grown oxide film and other contaminants from a substrate surface and continuously form thin films on wafers without exposing the wafer to the air at relatively lowered temperatures without giving an damage to the substrate surface as taught by Iwasaki et al.
- 11. With respect to claim 3, in Futagawa et al. said at least one vacuum treatment system is a heat treatment system (abstract) that is connected to said chemical oxide removal system, the heat treatment is carried out on objects to be processed that have been subjected to a chemical oxide removal treatment. Examiner notes with respect to the order of treatment, which is viewed as an intended use, that the courts have ruled that a claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. Ex parte

 Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1987)
- 12. With respect to claim 4, also in Futagawa et al., each of the chemical oxide removal treatment system and the heat treatment system are only accessed by load lock chambers in a vacuum state and are never exposed to atmosphere.
- 13. With respect to claim 5, further, as described above, in Futagawa et al. said load lock system is disposed in a position such as to form a line with said at least one vacuum treatment system.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,012 Page 5

Art Unit: 1763

14. With respect to claims 28 and 29, the first and second systems, one of which could be a COR system, are capable of being used simultaneously. Also, the transfer arm is capable of transferring an object to one of the systems while an object is being processed in the other. Further, while an object is being housed in the one load lock system the transfer arm is capable of transferring another object to be processed out of said first treatment system (e.g. COR treatment system) and into said second treatment system.

Response to Arguments

15. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Futagawa et al. has been relied upon for additional features/arrangements newly added by amendment.

Conclusion

16. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karla Moore whose telephone number is 571.272.1440. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 am-6:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,012

Art Unit: 1763

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on 571.272.1435. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Page 6

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

Kalla Moore

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1763

2 March 2007